

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/004,984	12/03/2001	Didier Gloaguen	214711	9238	
С	23460 7.	590 07/02/2003				
	LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD			EXAMINER		
	180 NORTH S	NTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4 TETSON AVENUE	4900	PICKARD, ALISON K		
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3676		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Ţ		Application No.	Appli	cant(s)						
		10/004,984	GLOA	GUEN, DIDIER	3					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art U	nit						
		Alison K. Pickard	3676							
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cov rs	h et with the corresp	ondence addres	SS					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·								
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-fina	al.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	۱.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.										
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.										
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
	on Papers	•								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.										
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120										
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).										
a)[2	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been receiv	ed.							
	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been receiv	ed in Application No.	·						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.	2(a)).	is National Stag	je					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	**		provisional apr	olication).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.										
Attachment		•	33							
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) 🔲 N	terview Summary (PTO-4) otice of Informal Patent Ap her:							
S. Patent and Tra TO-326 (Rev		tion Summary	Part of F	Paper No. 6						

Application/Control Number: 10/004,984

Art Unit: 3676

3, 3

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2, 6 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: it appears claim 6 should depend from claim 5, not claim 4. Claims 2 and 14 appear to be duplicate claims in that they claim essentially the same matter. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10-12, 14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Great Britain Patent No. 1,384,012.

GB '012 discloses a protective cover having a bellows defining an interior space and closed at both ends. The cover includes a vent means 11 coupled to the space and having a vent element 18 to allow fluid to flow there across while preventing contaminants and/or water from entering. The pressure in the interior space is maintained at atmospheric pressure. The vent element comprises a porosity-calibrated material. The vent means is configured as an L-shaped spout with a perpendicular portion and an outer parallel portion. It appears that the vent means is separate from the cover and is connected via clips to the cover. Regardless, forming the means separately, then connecting and/or mechanically bonding are considered process steps in a product claim and are given little patentable weight.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,984

Art Unit: 3676

4. Claims 1-8, 10, 11,13-15, 19, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Colletti (3,927,576).

Colletti discloses a protective cover arrangement secured to both ends of a joint or steering arrangement in a vehicle. The cover comprises an elastomeric bellows 23 secured at each end with a collar 32 and 33 and defining an interior space 36. A vent means 28 is coupled to the space and includes an element 18 that allows fluid flow there across but prevents contaminants and/or water from entering the space. The pressure in the space is maintained at atmospheric pressure. The vent means prevents lubricant from escaping. The element 18 is made of a porosity-calibrated material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Colletti.

Colletti does not disclose that the material for the vent element is Teflon. Using Teflon as the material is considered a design choice. it is not considered inventive to select a known material based on its suitability for its intended purpose. See In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960). It is known in the art to use Teflon as a filter material as evidenced by Clarke (4,904,394) and Gentilcore (5,052,451). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Teflon for the vent element as a matter of choice in design.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,984

Art Unit: 3676

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alison K. Pickard whose telephone number is 703-305-0882. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9-6:30), with alternate Friday's off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 703-308-3179. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9326 for regular communications and 703-8729327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 308-1113.

Alison K. Pickard

Page 4

Examiner
Art Unit 3676

AP June 25, 2003